ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT  — Omar Figueroa, Es		TELEPHONE NO.: 415/986-5591	FOR COURT USE ONLY				
506 Broadway	4	413/700-3371					
San Francisco, CA	94133-4507		ENDORSED FILED				
	N PERRY BARLOW		MUNICIPAL COURT NORTHERN BRANCH				
Superior Court of C	r branch court, if any, and post office and street address: alifornia County of San Mateo		SEP 2 2004				
1050 Mission Road	C 4 0 4 0 0 0		DECOUNTRY OF A COURT Admin				
South San Francisco	, CA 94080	PEGGY THUMUSUN, Court Admin.					
The People of the St	ate of California v. John Perry Barl	ow	By Deputy				
SUBPENA (CRIMINAL	•	CASE NUMBER:					
DUCES TECUM	CRIMINAL		NM 333376				
	ATE OF CALIFORNIA, TO (NAME):						
1. YOU ARE ORDERED UNLESS you make a	odian of records for Covenant Avia FO APPEAR AS A WITNESS in this action special agreement with the person name	n at the date, time, a	nd place shown in the box below				
a. Date: September 9, 2004 Time: 2:00 p.m.  □ Dept.: TBD □ Div.: Room: b. Address: Superior Court of California-County of San Mateo 1050 Mission Road, South San Francisco, CA 94080							
2. AND YOU ARE	, , ,						
a. ordered to app	ear in person						
		acordo docaribad in th	ne accompanying affidavit and a completed				
declaration of	custodian of records in compliance with F	vidonco Codo costio:	ns 1560, 1561, 1562, and 1271. (1) Place a				
conv of the re	cords in an envelope (or other wrapper)	Englace your existent	is 1500, 1501, 1502, and 1271. (1) Place a				
Copy of the re	cords in an envelope (or other wrapper).	Enclose your original	declaration with the records. Seal them. (2)				
time, and place	of this subpena to the envelope or write of	the envelope the ca	se name and number, your name and date,				
time, and place from item 1 (the box above). (3) Place this first envelope in an outer envelope, seal it, and mail it to the clerk of the court at the address in item 1. (4) Mail a copy of your declaration to the attorney or party shown at the top of this form.							
or the count at	one in person and to produce the records	ur declaration to the a	ttorney or party snown at the top of this form.				
c ordered to app	ear in person and to produce the records to	dustion of the existing	npanying affidavit. The personal attendance				
procedure aut	portized by subdivision (b) of section 1560	duction of the original	records <b>is required</b> by this subpena. The				
	ent compliance with this subpena.	, and sections 1561 a	and 1562, of the Evidence Code will not be				
		ad in the accompanyi	ing affidavit available for inspection at your				
	d. ordered to make the <b>original</b> business records described in the accompanying affidavit available for inspection at your business address by the attorney's representative and to permit <b>copying</b> at your business address under reasonable						
	ss hours. conditions during normal business		your business address drider reasonable				
3. IF YOU HAVE ANY Q	UESTIONS ABOUT THE TIME OR DATE	FOR YOU TO APPE	EAR, OR IF YOU WANT TO BE CERTAIN EFORE THE DATE ON WHICH YOU ARE				
a. Name: Omar Figu	eroa, Esq.	ne number: 415-986-5591					
		both, in the discretion	of the court. Contact the person named in				
item 3 <b>AFTER</b> your ap	pearance.						
DISOBEDIENCE OF THE	IIS SUBPENA MAY BE PUNISHED BY A	INE IMPRISONMEN	T OR BOTH A WARRANT MAY				
	REST IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR.						
FOR COURT USE ONLY		<b>a</b>	1				
	Date: A 1 All		1/ 1/ 00/				
	Date: 9-1-04	June	ingliffy Chly				
		SIGNA	ATURE OF PERSON ISSUING SUBPENA)				
			Omar Figueroa				
			(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)				
the state of the s		A	ttorney for Defendant				
* 1	(See reverse for pr	nof of service)	(TITLE)				

September 1, 2004

Covenant Aviation Security 245 South Spruce Ave. South SF, CA 94080 (650) 821-2300

RE: **People v. John Barlow**, San Mateo County Superior Court No. NM 333376

Dear Custodian of Records:

I represent John Barlow, who is a defendant in a criminal action in San Mateo County, California. To prepare a defense, it will be necessary to provide the court with true, legible, durable copies of the appropriate records detailing:

- 1) All reports, memorandums, accounts, briefs, communications, messages, notes, reviews, or records pertaining to the search of Mr. Barlow's luggage, and or his case.
- 2) All training manuals, handbooks, instructions, or memorandums pertaining to protocols or procedures for performing baggage searches.
- 3) All documents detailing the time of the initial search of Mr. Barlow's luggage.
- 4) All audio and/or video recordings, as well as any recordings taken of the x-ray images of Mr. Barlow's luggage taken before, during, and/or after the search of Mr. Barlow's luggage on September 15, 2003.

We hereby write to advise you of a *subpoena duces tecum* for documents under your control, namely records pertaining to the above mentioned procedures and/or events.

Rather than requiring you to personally attend a hearing and testify, the California Evidence Code allows you to comply with this subpoena to make your appearance in court optional.

Following is a summary of what is required by the relevant portions of the California Evidence Code sections 1560, 1561, 1562, which specify how the records must be sent.

- 1. Make a true, legible, and durable copy of the records described in the subpoena, including the actual work orders and/or documents describing road conditions;
- 2. Sign and date the enclosed Affidavit of Custodian of Records;
- 3. Place the records and Affidavit in an envelope and seal the envelope securely.
- 4. On the outside of the envelope write...
  - A. The title and name of the case (People v. Barlow; Case #NM 333376)
  - B. Name of Witness (the authorized custodian of records)
- 5. Place this sealed envelope containing the documents and affidavit in another envelope and address to:

Superior Court of California County of San Mateo 1050 Mission Road South San Francisco, CA 94080

ATTENTION: Subpoenaed Records for Case #NM333376

6. Please send the packet to the court.

I would also appreciate it if you would kindly make an additional copy of the subpoenaed records and send them to my office; though, this is option. If you have any questions regarding the subpoena or the case, please do not hesitate to contact me. Your assistance is highly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Omar Figueroa

Attorney for John Barlow

OMAR FIGUEROA

506 Broadway

San Francisco, CA 94133 Telephone: 415/986-5591

Facsimile: 415/421-1331

SEP 2 2004

PEGGY THUMPSON, Court Admin. MILLE

Deputy

OMAR FIGUEROA #196650 506 Broadway

San Francisco, CA 94133

Telephone: 415/986-5591 Facsimile: 415/421-1331

4

Attorney for Defendant JOHN BARLOW

6

5

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, NO. NM 333376

Plaintiff,

DECLARATION OF COUNSEL IN SUPPORT OF SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

v.

JOHN BARLOW,

Defendant.

Defendant JOHN BARLOW hereby requests production of the following:

- 1) A true, legible, and durable copy of all complaints, disciplinary actions, or punitive procedures filed against Covenant Security employees: Sandra Ramos, Kenneth Lewis, and Donald Pyle.
- 2) A true, legible, and durable copy of all reports, memorandums, accounts, briefs, communications, messages, notes, reviews, or records pertaining to the search of Mr. Barlow's luggage, and or his case.
- 3) A true, legible, and durable copy of all training manuals or memorandums pertaining to protocols or procedures for performing baggage searches.
- 4) A true, legible, and durable copy of any document detailing the time of the initial search of Mr. Barlow's luggage.

5

Please send this material, via personal delivery or certified U.S. Mail, to:

Criminal Division—Clerk's Office Superior Court of California County of San Mateo 1050 Mission Rd. South San Francisco, CA 94080

Phone: (650) 877-5773

## DECLARATION OF COUNSEL

I, OMAR FIGUEROA, declare:

I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and I am counsel of record for defendant John Barlow in this matter.

Mr. Barlow is presently facing criminal charges in San Mateo County arising out of the search of his luggage at San Francisco Airport. Specifically, he has been charged with five misdemeanor violations including: three counts of violating Health & Safety Code Sections 11377(A), one count of violating Business and Profession Code 4140, and one count of violating Health and Safety Code \$11357(B) on or about September 15, 2003, at the San Francisco Airport.

Mr. Barlow intends to present evidence establishing that the initial search of his luggage was illegally intrusive, as beyond the purview of a security search. Code of Federal Regulations \$1544.203(a) requires that every aircraft operator create a "security program to prevent or deter the carriage of any unauthorized explosive or incendiary onboard aircraft in checked baggage." CFR \$1544.203(a) Furthermore, subsection (c) of the same statute clarifies that its purpose is to "ensure that all checked

baggage is inspected for explosives and incendiaries before loading it on its aircraft." CFR \$1544.203(c)

In this case, the search extended beyond a search for undeclared firearms and incendiary devices. Because the scope of this search exceeded the federal mandate, the search was unreasonable and in flagrant violation of the United States Constitution. For this reason the training manuals, instruction books, and other evidence requested are material and necessary to establish either that 1) there was a pattern and practice of conducting unreasonable searches of checked baggage, or 2) there is no policy instructing baggage screeners on the lawful scope of a baggage search, and such screeners have boundless discretion in conducting these searches. The complaint is attached as Exhibit A, and the Police Reports are attached as Exhibit B.

## Summary of Facts

According to police reports, on September 15, 2003 at about 6:55 a.m. Officer Wurdinger responded to a dispatch request from TSA-Covenant Security at the San Francisco Airport. (Exhibit B pg.2) The time of arrest is listed as 7:30 a.m. on the San Mateo County Arrest Report/Booking Sheet (Exhibit B pg.7), but is listed as 7:45 a.m. on Officer Wurdinger's San Francisco Police—Airport Bureau report. (Exhibit B pg.3) The documents subpoenaed may be able to shed light on this material issue.

Officer Wurdinger reports receiving information from TSA-Covenant Security that they had searched Mr. Barlow's luggage and found a small amount of possible marijuana, and other potential contraband. (Exhibit B pg.2) However, the filed police report

omits information regarding the fact that Mr. Barlow's bag was illegally searched beyond the permissible scope allowed for security purposes.

During screening, a Covenant Security guard searched Mr. Barlow's luggage beyond the legal scope of airport security inspections. This can be seen from Officer Wurdinger's report. It states that "As S/Barlow's bag came through, Ramos saw wires and batteries in the X-ray that appeared suspicious to her. She opened the bag and began to search it. Inside she found that the batteries and wires were not threats, but as she searched she saw what appeared to be marijuana and other possible contraband."

(Exhibit B pg.2) This clearly illustrates that Covenant Security employee Sandra Ramos was no longer investigating a potential danger, but was in fact searching the luggage without cause.

Although the police report would lead one to believe otherwise, the alleged contraband was not found through visual detection. The contraband was found in the bottom of an Ibuprofen bottle, after luggage screener Ramos emptied out all of the Ibuprofen. The Ibuprofen bottle was never mentioned as being suspected as a potential bomb when Sandra Ramos chose to search the bag. This demonstrates the severe degree to which this search went beyond the stated purpose of a security search. As such, it is clear that the scope of this initial search was illegal and unjustified, since it was unrelated to security concerns.

The police officers handling this case omitted all information regarding the illegal, over-intrusive search by TSA-Covenant Security employees from the police report. Officer Wurdinger omitted any mention of where and under what circumstances

the contraband was found in order to hide evidence of an illegal search and seizure. Similarly, the other officers who participated in the arrest excluded this evidence by neglecting to file police reports. This improper police procedure necessitates that defendant be provided with true and accurate information regarding the initial search.

For this reason the training manuals, instruction books, and other evidence requested are material and necessary to establish that either: 1) there was a pattern and practice of conducting unreasonable searches of checked baggage, or 2) there is no policy instructing baggage screeners on the lawful scope of a baggage search, and such screeners have boundless discretion in conducting these searches.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed on August 1, 2004, at San Francisco, California.

OMAR FIGUEROA

Attorney for Defendant

fell Ol

JOHN BARLOW

## AFFIDAVIT OF CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS (Evidence Code \$1561)

The undersigned declares as follows:

- 1. I am the duly authorized custodian of records for Covenant Aviation Security, and as such have authority to certify said records;
- 2. The copy of records enclosed herewith is a true copy of all records described in the Subpoena Duces Tecum;
- 3. These records were prepared and/or obtained by personnel of this office in the ordinary course of business at or near the time of the act, condition, or event;
- 4. The enclosed records are true, legible, and durable copies of: all reports, memorandums, accounts, briefs, communications, messages, notes, reviews, or records pertaining to the search of Mr. Barlow's luggage, and or his case; all training manuals, handbooks, instructions, or memorandums pertaining to protocols or procedures for performing baggage searches; all documents detailing the time of the initial search of Mr. Barlow's luggage; all audio and/or video recordings, as well as any recordings taken of the x-ray images of Mr. Barlow's luggage taken before, during, and/or after the search of Mr. Barlow's luggage on September 15, 2003.
- 5. These records were created and/or obtained by Covenant Aviation Security, and/or office staff during the time frame from September 15, 2000 through the present.

I declare under penalty of perjury as provided for by the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

	Executed	this	day	of		(month),	2004,
at		_(city),		(	state).		

CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER:							
PEOPLE v. BARLOW	NM 333376							
PROOF OF SERVICE OF SUBPENA  1. I served this Subpena Subpena Duces Tecum and supporting affidavit served as follows:	ENDORSED FILED by personawidaleinalcooding person NORTHERN BRANCH							
a. Person served (name): Audrey Vean	SEP 2 2004							
b. Address where served: 245 S. Spruce SS	PEGGY THOMPSUN, Court Admin.  By Deputy							
c. Date of delivery: $9-2-64$ d. Time of delivery: $(2:50)$	Jopaty							
2. I received this subpena for service on (date):								
NON-SERVICE RETURN OF SUBPENA     After due search, careful inquiry, and diligent attempts at the dwelling house or usual place of abode or usual place of business, I have been unable to make personal delivery of this Subpena Subpena Duces Tecum in this county on the following persons (specify):								
(0)	nty address. erve by hearing date. nns (explanation required):							
b. California sheriff, marshal, or constable. Bus. & Prof	n registration under Code section 22350(b). d telephone number and, if applicable, n and number:							
	a sheriff, marshal, or constable use only) e foregoing is true and correct.							
(SIGNATURE)	(SIGNATURE)							